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Abstract: In this paper, the developer of a multimedia resource entitled, ‘Scaffolding Literacy in the Content Areas’, outlines some of the design features underpinning its function as an interactive resource that can be used for professional development purposes, and in teacher education both in an Australian context and internationally. ‘Scaffolding Literacy in the Content Areas’ is a video-based DVD designed as a comprehensive resource for teachers working with language and literacy across the subject areas in the middle years of schooling. Adopting a simplified version of Systemic Functional Linguistics (Halliday, 1994) and genre theory (Martin, 1992), ‘Scaffolding Literacy in the Content Areas’ uses video clips, animations, tutorials and various interactive functions to show how spoken and written language are used in effective teaching. The 8 units cover issues to do with Language, Literacy and Learners in the 21st century; up-to-date educational understandings of the concept of ‘scaffolding’ (Gibbons 2002; Hammond, 2001) in terms of literacy for learning; oral language for learning; the standard and elaborated genres of schooling; supporting and evaluating reading and writing; and planning for language and literacy in the content areas. Key decisions about the design and content of the resource will also be briefly outlined.
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1. Introduction
In Australian school education, interest in literacy and its role in learning across the curriculum has been growing (eg Hammond & Macken-Horarik, 2001), with recent policy development (eg Wyatt-Smith, 2000), and research activity (eg Unsworth, 2000) increasingly concerned with specific literacies which operate within and across Key Learning Areas (KLA) of the school curriculum. Yet there have been challenges in providing teachers with sufficient opportunities for professional development in this area (Cumming & Wyatt-Smith, 2001).

These challenges are compounded by the fact that traditional notions of literacy as simply ‘reading’, ‘writing’, ‘speaking’ and ‘listening’ are inadequate in the new communicational landscape of the twenty first century. The ‘new literacies’ (Cope & Kalantzis, 2000) take a view of texts as ‘genres’, staged to achieve purposeful social interaction (Derewianka, 1990, 1998; Martin, 1992). These texts are inherently multi-modal (Kress, 2003; Unsworth, 2001), making their meanings through a range of visual and aural, as well as verbal means. The complex synthesis of meaning-making systems presents additional challenges for many high
school students, who may require support in identifying the separate meanings and purposes of charts, images, animations, hyperlink trails, verbal and audio text of a web site for example, but also how these separate purposes combine to make the meanings students are required to comprehend and use. For students to succeed in accessing and using the meanings embedded in these multi-modal texts, teachers need to know how to identify their purposes, structures and language features. For students to succeed in writing or constructing such texts to effectively achieve their intended purposes, teachers also need a ‘metalanguage’ to talk with their students as they critically deconstruct sample texts and explicitly model the structures of the required texts (Gibbons, 2002; Hammond, 2001).

Working with notions of new literacies as purposeful socio-cultural practice, teacher education programs in Australia are increasingly seeking to give novice teachers experience in working with school texts whose forms and functions vary with increasingly more diverse purposes and technologies. In my own teacher-training context at the University of Melbourne, while we are accounting for the burgeoning variety of text forms associated with information and multi-media technologies, we are also supporting student teachers into recognising the discipline-specific forms of text reception and production required across the K-12 curriculum. In a subject entitled ‘Language in Education’ I work with novice teachers in disciplinary areas such as Maths, Science, History, Geography, Commerce, Music or Art who have 18 hours in total of their one year pre-service course dedicated to examining the role of language and literacy in the learning of their content areas. The multimedia product, ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY ACROSS THE CONTENT AREAS’, is specifically being designed to help such content area teachers understand that, through a little knowledge of how language is structured to achieve different social purposes in various contexts and modes, they can support their students into developing the literacy and multiliteracy skills needed in their specialisation.

2. Design principles underpinning the development of ‘Scaffolding Literacy’.

‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ is designed as an 8 Unit DVD, as outlined below:

Unit 1: An Introduction to Language and Literacy.

Here we examine the range of first and second language learners in Australian schools and the complex relationship between language, literacy and learning in community and school contexts.

Unit 2: Scaffolding Literacy

Here we introduce the notion of scaffolding as a highly planned and explicitly structured support for learning through and about literacy in the middle to upper years of schooling.

Unit 3: Oral Language

Here we examine the structures of the oral language that can be used for effective learning in various classroom contexts in its various forms, from exploratory to more formal purposes.

Unit 4: Standard Written Genres

Here we introduce the structures and linguistic features of 6 of the key genres that students are required to read and write across the subject disciplines.

Unit 5: Multi-genre texts

Here we examine the more varied structures that underpin the print and multimodal texts that students are required to read and write across the various subject disciplines.
Unit 6: Supporting Reading

Here we make explicit the reading demands of the more complex texts that students need to understand to be successful in the various subject disciplines and outline means whereby teachers can support their students.

Unit 7: Supporting Writing

Here we make explicit the writing demands of the more complex texts that students need to produce to be successful in the various subject disciplines and outline means whereby teachers can support their students.

Unit 8: Planning for Literacy Learning

Here we suggest a framework for teachers who are working across the discipline areas to support students in the complex literacy demands of the tasks they set and to assess their progress.

This Unit structure is represented in the Index screen below.

Figure 1: Index Screen from ‘Scaffolding Literacy in the Content Areas’

The design of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ is underpinned by a number of social constructivist principles about the nature and process of effective learning, principles which have underpinned the design and evaluation of much recent multimedia. Foremost amongst these are the key constructs of: ‘situated cognition’ and ‘cognitive apprenticeship’ (Brown, Collins & Duguid, 1989; Lave & Wengler, 1991); ‘scaffolding’ (Herrington & Oliver, 1997; McLoughlin, Winnips & Oliver, 2000; Winnips, Collis & Moonen, 2000); ‘authentic learning and assessment contexts’ (Lebow & Wager, 1994); and learner reflection and responsibility for learning (Herrington & Standen, 2000). In particular, Herrington and Oliver’s (1995) list of criteria fundamental to the design and development of multimedia within a constructivist
model (extrapolated from a review of literature on situated learning), informed the design of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’. Five of these criteria are briefly discussed below.

Firstly, ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ was designed to provide an authentic context that reflects the way language is used in real classrooms. Central to this process is the extensive use of QTVs of classroom teaching and learning episodes, representing interactions across the subject areas and years of secondary school. These interactions are unscripted and represent authentic aspects of the physical, pedagogical and interpersonal contexts into which the novice teachers will be apprenticed. The QTVs of classroom interactions are accompanied by QTVs of teacher interviews which provide clear insights into teachers’ planning, teaching and assessment decisions and how these influence their students’ language and literacy development. Through these QTVs, novice teachers are actively encouraged to bring to consciousness knowledge about language and literacy, and to reflect on the role of language and literacy in their own learning and teaching. Thus, users are provided with extensive opportunities to experience virtual classroom contexts similar to those in which they will later be making their own pedagogical choices. Visual presentations of authentic student and teacher texts present vehicles for guided analysis and interactive exercises, allowing the teaching and learning to be more practically-oriented. Through such a deeply situated approach (Brown, Collins & Duguid, 1989; Lave & Wenger, 1991), novice teachers are provided with rich opportunities to systematically examine the language used in a range of classrooms as specific discourse communities.

A second design principle underpinning ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ focuses on the development of authentic activities which encourage deep learning within a constructivist paradigm, which have a real world relevance and which enable learners to become immersed in the language, culture and situations of communities of practice, and subsequently to truly ‘see the world’ as practitioners do (Herrington and Oliver, 1995: 4). The genre based model of language and literacy which underpins ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ is itself functionally-oriented and concerned with how meanings are made in real social and cultural contexts where language serves a variety of purposes (Halliday, 1994; Derewianka, 1990, 1998). Within and across each of the eight units, interactive tasks scaffold users as ‘linguistic novices’ into progressively more sophisticated understandings of the structures and functions of spoken and written texts. Activities based on animations, drag-and-drop and roll-over facilities provide opportunities for novice teachers to rehearse emerging knowledge about written and spoken language as this is used in a range of classroom contexts and learn how to apply this linguistic knowledge for effective student learning. Tools such as a Glossary button allow users to check their understanding of linguistic terminology at point of need and a Bibliography function allows them to access a list of references. All learning activities in ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ are based exclusively on the authentic written and spoken texts of real classrooms, involving users in ‘legitimate peripheral participation’. Users are scaffolded through these activities into convergent understandings of language consistent with an explicitly valued model of literacy and learning.

A third principle underpinning the design of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ was that it should provide access to expert performance and the modelling of processes (Herrington and Oliver, 1995). In ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’, the effective use of oral and written language for learning is modelled in the QTV clips of ‘expert’ teachers in everyday classroom interactions. Reflective tasks and guided analysis of these QTV clips provide novice teachers with opportunities to ‘freeze’ these complex and often ‘messy’ interactions and reflect on them systematically in ways not available in the pressures of their own
teaching experiences. Analysis of these ‘expert performances’ is further assisted through the provision of transcripts of the classroom interactions in the video clips, some transcripts also having been coded in ways that highlight key features of oral interaction, thus providing the novice teacher with a specialised reflective metalanguage. Written products, as well as interactive processes are also modelled. Still shots of model student- and teacher-written texts are available for close examination, with the wording and images of longer texts being made available through enlarged ‘thumbnails’. ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ thus provides the ‘window into model practice’ advocated by Herrington and Oliver (1995: 5).

A fourth principle underpinning the design of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ is the centrality of reflection as a key to making sense of any situated learning experience. Reflection operates at two levels in ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’. It is the concluding stage of the 5-stage learning/teaching cycle which accompanies its model of language, literacy and learning (see below). At this level of ‘content knowledge development’, novice teachers are guided, through using the various multimedia resources of the DVD, to understand the significance to the learning process in classrooms of a stage where teacher and learner are able to review understandings, evaluate the tasks accomplished and plan future directions. Reflection also operates in ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ at a metacognitive level where the architectural design of the DVD encourages novice teachers to evaluate the effectiveness of the teaching they are experiencing through the multimedia resource, the relevance of their own learning, the problems or challenges they are facing and their own teaching goals. Regular small reflective tasks are built into each topic, with larger reflective tasks at the end of each Unit which invite teachers to consider the implications for their own planning.

Users can also draw on hyperlinked tutorials to deepen their understanding of certain features of language or text structuring, at ‘point of need’. While the more technical linguistic terminology is explained within the units, the designers recognise that, given the range of disciplinary backgrounds that teachers will come from, there will be considerable variation in users’ metalinguistic knowledge. The tutorials thus provide ‘point of need’ opportunities for further clarification.

The final and most important principle guiding the development of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’, central both to its instructional content and its instructional design, is that of scaffolding. The concept of scaffolding was originally used by Wood, Bruner & Ross (1976) to portray the temporary, but essential nature of parental support in the language development of young children. This concept has proved to be attractive in socio-cultural models of learning in general (Mercer, 1994) and of language learning in particular (Halliday, 1994). This socio-cultural model posits that cognitive development is not simply influenced by social processes, but is profoundly grounded in social and cultural processes. It is this theoretical model of learning which underpins the instructional content of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’. Given the 'intersubjective' foundations of learning (Bruner, 1986), teachers at all levels have a profound responsibility for organising the social processes of their classrooms to maximise learning. Wood, Bruner & Ross's (1976) concept of scaffolding has since been used extensively in the educational literature and in a variety of institutional contexts, though it is often used very loosely to refer to any sort of teaching or helping of learners. Three key factors have emerged as distinguishing scaffolding from other forms of teaching (Hammond, 2002; Maybin, Mercer & Stierer, 1992):
• the task, skill, or understanding being scaffolded is a specific learning activity with finite goals.
• the ‘instructor’ or ‘expert’ determines what skill or understandings learners currently have in order to help them build on those skills and understandings in positive and constructive ways.) Scaffolding thus requires the identification of the learner’s Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (Vygotsky, 1978:86) or the ‘gap’ in students' understandings and the construction of ways of helping learners bridge that gap and move into a new ZPD.
• the learner is brought closer to a state of competence which will enable them eventually to complete the task on their own. The teacher structures the learning activity such that her/his own expertise can be gradually withdrawn till the learner or 'apprentice' can complete the task independently.

These central features of scaffolding are explicitly outlined in the instructional content of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ (particularly in Units 2 and 3) to help teachers identify how structural support through language occurs in the exemplary practice represented in the QuickTime video clips and in the other authentic resources presented. These features of scaffolding also inform the five stage 'learning/teaching cycle’ built into the instructional design of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ that allows novice teachers to systematically examine the skilled language and literacy practices of experienced teachers as modelled in the video clips. This five stage cycle comprises Engagement, Building Knowledge, Transformation Presentation and Reflection.

As well as underpinning the instructional content, the metaphor of scaffolding also underpins the instructional design of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’, such that users are themselves moved recursively through these five stages of each learning/teaching cycle, as they are: engaged in issues of language, literacy and learning; helped to build knowledge in this new area; guided into transforming that new knowledge into understanding; provided with various means of presenting that new understanding; and provided with the means of reflecting on that new understanding. Thus, while they are learning about the principles of scaffolding children into learning through language and literacy, novice teachers are themselves scaffolded into new professional understandings as they experience the sequences of learning/teaching cycles that underpin the design of the DVD.

The principles of constructivist pedagogy underpinning the design of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ outlined above would ideally scaffold novice teachers substantially in their learning about the central role of language and literacy in teaching, by providing authentic contexts, authentic activities, models of expert performance and opportunities for articulation of and reflection on knowledge. Designed along these lines, the expectation was that ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ would be a valuable resource for developing and experienced teachers alike, permitting a balance between expert guidance and learner autonomy.

3. Focus on Unit 5 of ‘Scaffolding Literacy’: An introduction to multi-genre texts.

In the remainder of this paper, I will offer a brief description of the key content and design of one unit, Unit 5, where the varied structures that underpin the print and multimodal texts that students are required to read and write across the various subject disciplines. In Unit 4, teachers were introduced to Narratives, Recounts, Information Reports, Arguments, Explanations and Procedures as the 6 key genres or text types used across the discipline areas and years of schooling. The specific purposes of these genres were outlined, along with some of the typical language features that help them achieve their purposes. For example, the genre of Recount tends to use the past tense and language which marks the passing of time in order to describe events which have unfolded in the past. Information Reports on the other hand tend to use the present tense and classificatory language to describe phenomena as they exist.
independent of time. When we come to Unit 5, we examine how these ‘standard’ genres regularly occur in combination, such that a single page of a History textbook may contain an Information Report about the hierarchical social structures in Feudal England, alongside an Explanation about how a Knight was prepared for battle. To complicate matters further for the student reader, some of the information about the feudal structures may be contained in a diagrammatic representation and some of the explanation of the Knight’s preparation for battle may be contained in a series of visual images.

Through a series of animated tasks, users in Unit 5 get the chance to identify the varied generic purposes of visual and verbal materials in a range of everyday and school based texts. For example, by rolling their cursor over appropriate sections of one page of a school History textbook, they are able to see its generic staging and the labels that accompany these.

![Figure 2: Screen 4 from Unit 5 of ‘Scaffolding Literacy in the Content Areas’](image)

History teachers’ knowledge of these structures helps them make explicit to their students how to read such texts for the relevant information. It also allows teachers to make explicit the kind of writing they require of their students. Likewise, Science teachers, who regularly work with Procedural texts, can make explicit to their students at what stages they want them to read or write a Procedural Recount (in which they report the steps they have undertaken to conduct an experiment) and at what stages to read or write an Information Report (in which they describe or classify what they have found). By engaging with the interactive tasks embedded in Unit 5, teachers are supported in clarifying the generic demands of the texts they work with.

Across a number of subjects, students are required to read and write Reviews of various sorts, which are often structured in specific ways for specific purposes. For example, in secondary Art, a Review of a painting may require the student to systematically describe the various compositional features in the form of an Information Report, before making a judgement of it as an aesthetic object. In a Language Arts context, the same student may be
required to write a Review of a novel or film, where s/he may be expected to provide a Recount of the key features of the narrative before providing an evaluation. In both subjects, the Reviews contain a key Argument or Evaluation phase, in which the writer expresses a value judgement about the artefact reviewed. However, this can be preceded by either a Recount of key features of the narrative (in the case of the novel or film) or an Information Report describing key aspects of a work of Art. In Unit 5, again through interactive tasks with samples of authentic student Reviews, teachers are given further opportunities to identify the various combinations of genres required in reviews in different subject areas.

Figure 3: Screen 8 from Unit 4 of ‘Scaffolding Literacy in the Content Areas’

If teachers are able to make explicit the purposeful staging of reviews in different subject areas, their students will be more able to write well-staged Reviews that meet the requirements of the Art teacher in one instance and those that meet the different requirements of the English or Media Studies teacher in another.

This paper will conclude by identifying a final feature of Unit 5 which is central to content area teacher’s role in supporting students throughout the years of schooling. It is often presumed that students will ‘pick up’ the increasingly specialized language demands of subjects as they move up the years of schooling. It is one of the central arguments of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ that more students will succeed in schooling if these language demands are made explicit and if teachers have the necessary and conscious knowledge of such demands. By way of illustrating these increasing demands, Unit 5 provides a brief overview of the generic demands made of students as they move up the years of schooling, based on the work of Veel (1997) and Coffin (1997). In Science in the early years of schooling for example, students are largely concerned with ‘Doing Science’, where the preferred genres are Procedures (where instructions are given for tasks) and Procedural Recounts (where a record of completed tasks is chronologically structured). As they progress further into the middle years of schooling, students are required to also learn to ‘Organise Scientifically’, learning to control Information Reports and Taxonomies (where information
is described and classified) and to ‘Explain Science’, drawing on Explanation texts (where sequential, causal or theoretical relationships between phenomenon are clarified). In the later years of schooling, in addition to these processes, students are also required to ‘Challenge Science’, using Argumentative genres to put one or more appropriately supported points of view. These increasing generic demands of school science, summarised in Table 1 below, are further discussed in Halliday & Martin (1993).

Table 1: Generic requirements of school Science (adapted from Veel, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doing Science</th>
<th>Organising Scientifically</th>
<th>Explaining Science</th>
<th>Challenging Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Descriptive Report</td>
<td>Sequential Explanation</td>
<td>Argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedural Recount</td>
<td>Taxonomic Report</td>
<td>Causal Explanation</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Theoretical Explanation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, the demands of reading and writing the genres of History increase through the years of schooling in ways that teachers are not generally aware of. Unit 5 of ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ offers teachers a means of identifying how the purposes and associated genres of History can be clarified. The model offered here builds on the work of Caroline Coffin (1997) who sees the increased sophistication of thinking and writing about history as a movement from History as Narrative, through a process where time is ‘dismantled’, to a concern with Explaining and Arguing history. This movement can be illustrated in Figure 4 below.

Figure 4: ‘Dismantling’ time in school History. Adapted from Coffin (1997)

The shift in thinking, reading and writing about history as a story, to a set of issues to be explained and argued about is generally not made explicit in secondary schools. This can cause students a great deal of confusion, which could be avoided if the purposes of various History texts are clarified using a framework such as that outlined in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Generic requirements of school History. Adapted from the work of Unsworth (2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text type</th>
<th>Social purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronicling history</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autobiographical recount</td>
<td>To retell the events of your own life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biographical recount</td>
<td>To retell the events of a person’s life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical recount</td>
<td>To retell events in the past, not necessarily of a person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The notion of genre as purposefully structured text is a powerful one for teachers concerned to support their students into the (often invisible) literacy demands of their subject areas, whether this be History, Science, Art or any other discipline. In addition, teacher knowledge of how language functions at different stages of these genres (as offered in the tutorials in ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’) provides an important further scaffold for students struggling to read and write the range of texts required of them in any one day. The success of such an approach has been evidenced in teachers’ responses to the precursor to ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’, a CD ROM entitled ‘Building Understandings in Literacy and Teaching’ (Love et al, 2002, 2005). This multimedia resource had been developed for K-12 teachers and focused less on the specific demands of the specialised curriculum than on the general literacy demands of schooling (see Love, 2003; Love & Shrimpton, 2002 for further details of BUILT). ‘SCAFFOLDING LITERACY’ tries to provide teachers who may have no prior knowledge about language with some manageable models of literacy to support their students in a new communicational world where “there are now choices about how and what is to be represented: in what mode, in what genre, in what ensembles of modes and genres and on what occasions” (Kress, 2003, p. 117).
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